



AAPA-PAEA Research Fellowship Program

Reviewers will consider each of the criteria below in the determination of scientific merit and give a separate score for each.

- Does the personal statement provide educational and work-related skills and experience as well as persuasive reasons as to why they should be selected?
- Does the project address a novel research question(s) or problem statement that is of critical importance to PA education or the PA profession?
- Does the background or literature review section clearly lay out the terms and findings of the existing literature and establish a substantial base on which to plan the proposed research project?
- Can the proposed methods adequately address the research question(s)? Do the proposed analyses appropriately and rigorously address the research question(s)? Preference is given to studies that propose detailed and sophisticated analyses that move beyond descriptives.
- Does the research plan detail how the specific project will advance knowledge in the topic area(s)?
- Does the external mentor express enthusiasm for the candidate, a history of collaboration, and first-hand knowledge of candidate's skills?
- Does the internal mentor express enthusiasm for the candidate, detail a history of collaboration, illustrate first-hand knowledge of candidate's skills, and provide an explicit commitment to mentor the candidate?
- Does the program director show enthusiasm for the candidate, first-hand knowledge of their skills, and unambiguous commitment to release the candidate for .20 FTE/week?