



# 2017 PAEA Don Pedersen Grants Program Evaluation Criteria

## 1. Research Question/Study Rationale

- a. The problem statement or research question(s) are clearly articulated, detailed, and testable
- b. The problem statement or research question(s) are aligned with the [PAEA Strategic Plan](#)
- c. The project addresses novel problems or research question(s) that are important to PA education or the PA profession
- d. The background information was appropriately researched and referenced
- e. The background information demonstrates the significance of the research question(s) or problem statement

## 2. Research Methods

- a. The proposed methods are likely to yield high-quality, meaningful data
- b. The analytic plan is outlined effectively and in detail
- c. The proposed analyses appropriately and rigorously address the research question(s) or problem statement
- d. The variables of interest and potential confounders are clearly defined

## 3. Research Outcomes

- a. The research team is well-qualified based on education and research experience to carry out the research as proposed



- b. The project can be completed, including interim deliverables, within 18 months of funding
- c. The project is likely to result in a peer-reviewed publication or presentation to the scientific community

#### **4. Budget**

- a. The budget is appropriate for the proposed research methods and sufficiently detailed
- b. The budget line items are well-justified

#### **5. Overall Quality**

#### **6. Bonus Points**

- a. The project demonstrates collaboration between researchers from different institutions or between a junior and more experienced researcher
- b. The PI has been involved with PA-related research for fewer than five years
- c. The PI has never been awarded a PAEA Research Grant in the past (excludes Scientific Meeting Travel Scholarship and STAR Program winners)